Overview of the terms and conditions or methodologies of the CACM Regulation
## Terms and conditions or methodologies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>All NEMOs</th>
<th>MCO plan</th>
<th>approved</th>
<th>-</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Back-up methodology (DA+ID)</td>
<td>approved</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Algorithm (DA+ID)</td>
<td>approved re-opened</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Products (DA)</td>
<td>approved</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Products (ID)</td>
<td>approved re-opened</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum and minimum prices (DA)</td>
<td>approved</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum and minimum prices (ID)</td>
<td>approved</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>All TSOs</th>
<th>Capacity calculation regions</th>
<th>approved</th>
<th>annuled?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Generation and load data provision</td>
<td>approved</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Common grid model</td>
<td>approved</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pricing of intraday capacity</td>
<td>approved</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID cross-zonal gate opening/closure time</td>
<td>approved</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DA firmness deadline</td>
<td>approved</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Congestion income distribution</td>
<td>approved</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Relevant TSOs             | Calculation of scheduled exchanges (DA+ID) | approved | -  |
|----------------------------| Calculation of scheduled exchanges (ID)    | approved | -  |
Capacity Calculation Regions (CCRs)

• Defines the CCRs.
  • Appeal against the AT-DE split (questioning the mandate of the Agency to do so)

Status

• ACER decision in November 2016 after no RAs’ agreement.
• The decision appealed.
• Two TSO requests for amendment since the decision (NEMO Link, Cobra cable), both decided by the Agency.
• October 2019, the European Court of Justice annulled the decision of the Board of Appeal to reject the appeal from E-Control. BoA can appeal the ECJ’s verdict.
Pricing intraday cross-zonal capacity (IDCZCP)

- Introduces the intraday auctions, which are not envisaged by the CACM Regulation target model, nevertheless approved as no feasible technical solution was available.

Status

- Decision in January 2019.
- Implemented by the recent submission of the algorithm methodology.
MCO Plan

- NEMOs perform the MCO functions (matching of orders and simultaneously allocating cross-zonal capacities)
  - Development, maintenance and operation of the DA & ID algorithms
  - Processing input data from coordinated capacity calculators (cross-zonal capacity and allocation constraints)
  - Timely validation and sending results to NEMOs.

Status

- Approved in July 2017 after 2nd request for amendment.
- To be implemented by July 2018
  - The Multi-NEMO-Agreement (MNA) still pending, some regions face almost 2-year delay
- New MCO governance group – possible improvements
Back-up and Products

- Back-up procedures take place after the NEMOs are unable to provide coupling results, but before the fallback procedures
  - No back-up for intraday auctions
- Product for ID submitted together with the algorithm amendment
  - Pending decision in January 2020

Status (as reported in the last workshop)

- Approved in March 2018 after a request for amendment.
- Approved in March 2019 by the last NRA
- To be implemented immediately after the MCO function implementation.
SDAC algorithm & SIDC algorithms

- Sets out the conditions (max economic surplus, scalability, repeatability), deliverables, etc. for the DA and ID algorithms.

Status

- ACER decision in January 2020; the previous algorithm decision requested all-NEMOs’ amendment.
  - Change control methodology
  - Algorithm monitoring methodology
- EC wants to reinforce the NEMO and TSO cooperation (legally)
- ACER decision comes after a new governance scheme under Regulation 2019/942.
All NEMOs’ TCMs

SDAC algorithm & SIDC algorithms issues

- Legal base for ACER to decide: ACER decision comes after a new governance scheme under Regulation 2019/942.

- Legal base for the content of the decision:
  - All NEMOs and all TSOs decide on development.
  - IDAs do not fit in the price coupling algorithm or continuous trading matching algorithm.
  - Publishing of potentially sensitive contracts and procedures.
SDAC algorithm & SIDC algorithms issues

• Content
  • 15/30 minute products – CEP sets a very early deadline.
  • XBID interruption – transparency & anti-fraud design vs. short interruption vs. short time for placing bids into IDAs

• Future
  • EC wants to reinforce the NEMO and TSO cooperation (legally)
  • MCO governance EC’s subgroup meetings & paper
    • possible future development of the overall governance
    • limited in scope and focuses only on improving the recently chosen model
  • Single intraday continuous market with implicit auctions
    • possible phase-out of complementary regional auctions
Clean Energy Package (recycled slide)

- Implementing acts vs. delegated acts
  - TCMs under implementing acts will go directly to ACER
    - (not for regional ones)
  - TCMs under delegated acts will remain under the ‘old’ regime when they fail to agree or upon request of 60% of RAs
- So far no delegated acts in place.
- ACER can take an arbitrary role for non-EU countries
  - If the third countries have adopted and apply the rules and mandate the Agency to coordinate activities of their regulator with regulators form MSs, the Agency can take the role.
  - Third countries would have no voting rights(?) and take over the existing legislation ‘in the field of energy, environment and competition’.
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