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Key Messages

1. Efficiency
2. Reciprocity
3. A common solution for SEE
CACM in SEE

MoU

+ EU neighbouring MS

Art 20.4 CACM Regulation
Combining WB6 and CACM SEE – targets

1. *De facto* reciprocity
   - Involvement of WB6 stakeholders in ongoing EU CACM implementation discussions
     - CP NRAs > “all EU Regulators Forum”
     - CP PXs > “EU NEMO platform” (NEMO designation?)
     - CP TSOs > ENTSO-E (WB6 TF? | RG SEE?)
   - “early implementation” ensuring *de facto* reciprocity on CP-MS IPs

2. *De iure* reciprocity and legal bindingness
   - Formal adoption of CACM Regulation in the EnC
   - Formal reciprocity on CP-MS IPs
     - Via: Title II + change of CACM Regulation in EU or Title III/IV or Treaty changes on reciprocity
   - No duplication of CACM Regulation methodologies
Combining WB6 and CACM SEE – efficiency needed

3. **Procedural efficiency**
   - Make use of Project Steering Committee (PSC) structures currently established under the WB6 MoU
   - Add neighboring EU MSs to WB6 PSC structures

4. **Resource efficiency**
   - No duplication of WG structures
To be addressed

- Cover all SEE borders
  - Borders HU-SRB | IT-MNT?
  - Burstyn island – ROM | HU?
- Timing

4. No later than six months after at least all South East Europe Energy Community Contracting Parties participate in the single day-ahead coupling, the TSOs from at least Croatia, Romania, Bulgaria and Greece shall jointly submit a proposal to introduce a common capacity calculation methodology using the flow-based approach for the day-ahead and intraday market time-frame. The proposal shall provide for an implementation date of the common capacity
Thank you for your attention!
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Electricity – 8th Region re-loaded

FROM

MC DECISION 2008/02

To

TITLE III ENERGY COMMUNITY TREATY
EnC fit for the future

- **Further alignment of the EnC with EU acquis is needed**
  - ref. Network Codes
  - CACM: designing a common implementation path

- **Reciprocity is needed**
  - Eliminate “3rd country clause”
  - Introduce “switch-on clause”

- **Closing the legal gap**
  - “interconnecter”
  - Dispute resolution on EU EnC IPs

ACER | ECRB – TPA
exemptions | CBA
Implementation of new acquis

- **Standard procedure**
  - Decision of the Ministerial Council

- **Network Code procedure**
  - Legal basis: Title II EnC Treaty → standard adaptations | legal scope
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Examples of cross-border cooperation in SEE

Signatories: EU +

Less active / represented by EC

Active ly participating