Implementation of electricity network codes / guidelines in the Contracting Parties

Concept
Starting points

- **All network codes**
  1. **Connection codes**: demand connection $\text{DC}_{\text{DC}}$ | requirements for generators $\text{RfG}_{\text{RfG}}$ | high-voltage direct current $\text{HVDC}_{\text{HVDC}}$ [pending on EU level: emergency and restoration]
  2. **Market Codes**: capacity allocation and congestion management $\text{CACM}_{\text{CACM}}$ | forward capacity allocation $\text{FCA}_{\text{FCA}}$ [pending on EU level: balancing]
  3. [System operation: pending on EU level]

- **Entire provisions**
  - No omission of Articles
  - *But*: step-wise implementation of Articles possible
    - For discussion with TSOs / NRAs
Key questions

- **Standard adaptations only?**
  - EC → ECS | ACER → ECRB | MS → CP

- **Or are also additional ad-hoc adaptations needed?**
  - E.g. monitoring competences of ACER to remain with ACER for reporting efficiency reasons
  - no duplication of tasks that ACER / ENTSO-E already has based on the EU version of the relevant network code / guideline (e.g. data delivery requirements from ENTSO-E to ACER)
  - no provisions that relate to ACER / ENTSO-E obligations towards the EC

- **Implementation in one or more steps?**

- **Feasible implementation deadlines?**
  - For discussion with TSOs / NRAs

- **Is reciprocity an issue?**
  - Does meaningful implementation require involvement of CP-MS interfaces or is implementation of pure national / CP relevance?
## Proposal

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NC / GL</th>
<th>Standard adaptations</th>
<th>Reciprocity?</th>
<th>Timeline</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RfG</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✗</td>
<td>in one go</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✗</td>
<td>in one go</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HVDC</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✗</td>
<td>in one go</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CACM</td>
<td>FCA</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>