

# Implementation of electricity network codes / guidelines in the Contarcting Parties

## Concept

Energy Community Secretariat

### Starting points



#### <u>All</u> network codes

- 1. <u>Connection codes</u>: demand connection  $_{DC}$  | requirements for generators  $_{RfG}$  | highvoltage direct current  $_{HVDC}$  [pending on EU level: emergency and restoration]
- 2. <u>Market Codes</u>: capacity allocation and congestion management <sub>CACM</sub> | forward capacity allocation <sub>FCA</sub> [pending on EU level: balancing]
- 3. [System operation: pending on EU level]
- Entire provisions
  - o No omission of Articles
  - o But: step-wise implementation of Articles possible
    - For discussion with TSOs / NRAs

#### Key questions



- Standard adaptations only?
  - EC  $\rightarrow$  ECS | ACER  $\rightarrow$  ECRB | MS  $\rightarrow$  CP
- Or are also additional ad-hoc adaptations needed?
  - E.g. monitoring competences of ACER to remain with ACER for reporting efficiency reasons
  - no duplication of tasks that ACER / ENTSO-E already has based on the EU version of the relevant network code / guideline (e.g. data delivery requirements from ENTSO-E to ACER)
  - o no provisions that relate to ACER / ENTSO-E obligations towards the EC
- Implementation in one or more steps?
- Feasible implementation deadlines?
  - o For discussion with TSOs / NRAs
- Is reciprocity an issue?
  - Does meaningful implementation require involvement of CP-MS interfaces or is implementation of pure national / CP relevance?

Proposal



NC / GL	Standard adaptations	<b>Reciprocity?</b>	Timeline
RfG		X	in one go
DC		X	in one go
HVDC		X	in one go
CACM   FCA			in one go