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1. Do you think that interconnection capacities are optimally utilized?

Current state:
- there is regulatory framework
- no optimal calculation (NTC, bilateral harmonization)
- no optimal allocation (e.g. explicit auctions on DA level)

Improvement potential:
- CACM, FCA and SO NCs full implementation
2. TSOs as traders in the electricity markets (for network losses) – contribution to liquidity vs. conflict of interest

Conflict of interest:
- TSOs do have better insight in potentially commercially sensitive information (system state)
- minimise losses vs maximize trade

There is no direct influence of TSO on losses on e.g. DA level

Liquidity:
Obvious contribution
Will regional capacity calculation contribute to the more efficient utilization of the capacities and what are the experiences of coordinated capacity allocation in that respect?

1. RCC will contribute

2. Big step
What do you think would be impact of introducing flow-based capacity calculation for a day-ahead market time-frame?

1. Increase of the offered capacity
Do you think number of bidding zones shall be reviewed in the SEE region?

1. Once we have clear price signals and harmonised conditions...
2. Data transparency and sufficient info level
3. HL political question in SEE at the moment
Main conclusions
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