



Content



- Background
- Impact assessment by ECS
 - o Objective
 - Policy target
 - EU imact assessment
 - Rational for implementation
 - Impact on stakeholders

Background



- Concept paper on implementation of REMIT framework in the Contracting Parties
- Concept for REMIT implementation is presented to PHLG, Athens Forum and ECRB EWG
 - PHLG and AF conclusions: supported the proposal, discussions with ACER, ENTSO-E, G and other stakeholders, supported step-wise approach and prepare an impact assessment
 - First meeting on REMIT implementation on CPs with Regulators on 27/06/2017:
 - In general the participants supported the proposal by the ECS
 - For Regulators the key is to ensure resources, people and budged
 - ACER noted the importance that additional responsibilities for CPs are foreseen in their budged in the coming years
 - Market participants (EFET): the absence of conduct rules and transparency is actually a barrier to enter the market
 - EU Regulator: harmonized regime is important

EU REMIT impact assessment



- Existing rules are insufficient to ensure their stable and orderly functioning of the energy market
- Need to complement the 3rd energy package with specific conduct rules for wholesale energy trading
- In particular as the market is continuously evolving
 - Increasing cross-border activity trading activity
 - High price correlation
 - New types of risk
 - Ensuring level of playing field
- No alternative framework was assessed
- REMIT framework proposed with a step-wise implementation approach



Rationale for REMIT implementation in the CPs



Building up on the "wheel" that is there and is working ...

No alternative framework is assessed or compared

Three main areas justifying the proposal and the concept:

Market integrity regime

- Lack of transparency
- Lack of confidence
- Take position on the market based on inside information
- Lack of clarity on what constitutes market abuse
- High risk for abuse behavior in the market

Harmonized regime

- MSs-CPs well interconnected with significant trading activity
- High level of price correlation
- Avoiding/reducing the regulatory gap
- Impact of CPs on MS and vice versa

Efficient monitoring

- Less costs and more efficient for ACER to collect and monitoring
- Efficient market monitoring for MSs
- Advanced monitoring regime for CPs

Characteristics of the Contracting Parties



- 9 CPs: WB6, Ukraine, Moldova and Georgia
 - Group I 7 Contracting Parties (AL, BiH, ME, MC, KS, ML, GE)
 - Market participants: 20-50
 - Contracts on annual basis: 50-350
 - Group II 2 Contracting Parties (UA, RS)
 - Market participants: 50-300
 - Contracts on annual basis: 350-1000
- Most of the above concluded bilaterally
- + day-ahead PX contracts (currently one in Serbia)
- + transmission rights contracts (SEE CAO and other auctions)
- + fundamental data
- Gas market in general underdeveloped

Impacted stakeholders



[Contracting Parties]

 Legal and procedural measures: transposition of REMIT framework into national legislation

[Regulatory Authorities]

- Procedural measures
- Need of financial resources for REMIT implementation
- Additional 1-2 persons to deal with registration, monitoring & potential investigations, cooperation with stakeholders, etc.
- Ensuring cyber security to ensure data security
- IT impact

[Market participants]

- Procedural measures ensuring staff is trained and internal policies and processes are in place
- Registration and reporting
- IT impact

[PPATs]

- IT impact
- Surveillance systems in place
- Resources to monitoring the market

Measures should be proportional and limited to what is needed

[ACER]

- Additional resources for CPs
- IT improvements
- Data collections and monitoring
- Coordination and communication with NRAs from CPs

Secretariat should put in place arrangements with ACER, ENTSO-E and potentially ENTSO-G

Summary



- 9 CPs but small number of market participants and number of data to be reported
- Implementation is conditional to ACER's availability of resources
- ECS view is:
 - REMIT represents cultural change
 - Manageable impact on NRAs, in line with their duty to ensure sound market, though the issue of resources and budged is important
 - Little impact on market participants considering the level of activity
 - Putting in place REMIT framework now provides certainty and assurance to new comers – impact on liquidity
 - Avoiding market abuse increases social welfare



