



1. Rationale – why auctions?



State Aid Guidelines on Environmental Protection and Energy 2014-2020

- to ensure cost-effective development of RES for the benefit of end-customers
 - support granted based on competitive process clear, transparent, non-discriminatory criteria;

(exceptions: small scale < 1 MW and wind <6 MW or 6 generation units)

- to integrate renewable energy into the market
 - feed-in premium (FIP) instead of feed-in tariff (FIT)

(sliding) premium paid on top of electricity price (contract for difference)

• standard balance responsibility unless no liquid intra-day market exists

1. Renewable energy frameworks





- Maturity of renewable energy market:
- Plans for renewable energy development:
 - NREAP, TYNDP, DSOs plans, local authorities
- Status of the market development:
 - day-ahead, intra-day, future, balancing
- Integration of renewable energy into the grids:
 - grid connection codes and tariffs (deep or shallow),
 - tariff methodologies for access to transmission and distribution networks,
 - methodology for imbalance settlement,
 - ability to use balancing agregators.

Outline





Draft of Joint Policy Guidelines of EBRD and ECS

Building on European and International experience (AURES project, IRENA)

- Recommendations on :
 - (i) broarder context and
 - (ii) features of auctions

related to:

- Design of the auctions related to demand
- Design of the selection process

Discussions...

1. Design choices related to the demand





- i. When?
- the periodicity of auctions;

ii. Who?

- the body that administers the auction;
- iii. How much?
- the quantity of RE to be supported;

iv. How?

- the support mechanism;

v. What?

- the type of RE projects and technologies to be supported;
- i. Where?
- the location of RE projects to be
- supported.

1.1. Periodicity - When?



- 1. Adopt a plan for supporting renewable energy including a transparent and predictable auction schedule:
 - certainty for the market participants, grid infrastructure development,
 understanding the renewable energy market, plan for success
- 2. Start with a pilot auction scheme to test the market:
 - lessons learnt for future auctions i.e. size restrictions

1. 2. Appointment of the institution to administer the auctions – Who?



- Appoint an institution to conduct the auctions
- reputation, independent, human resources and skills
- effective dispute settlement mechanism;
- technical assistance if needed;
- TSO, Regulator, market operator, policy unit in the ministry or an agency especially established;
 - To be at least secondarily involved in auction design

1. 3. The auctioned volume(s) - How much?



Capacity limit (MW) initial, budget-based caps once more developed

- simple, easy to administer for technology specific auction;
- cannot accurately predict the amount of electricity generated by RE projects supported through and the total costs of the scheme;
- budgetary caps are more complex to administer
- price cap: the generation offered in the bid could be inflated;
- generation cap: subject to load factor uncertainty, guaranteeing the generation

Volumetric (MWh) using a price-sensitive demand curve

- fixed volumes for demand: in line with policy objectives and system capability to absorb the volumes, accepted if limits could be exceeded;
- price sensitive demand curves lower the price, higher the volume;
- Difficult to administer, less transparent.

1. 4. The support granted – How?



Sliding feed-in premium :

- is the difference between the strike price as result of the auction and the electricity market price;
- similar price stability to FiTs while allowing the generator to sell electricity in the DAM or to any market participant (PPA);
- contract for difference (CfD);
- furthering RE integration into electricity markets;
- the reference price must be calculated using a market that the RE producer can easily access and that resembles the spot market price.

Price ceiling;

- useful to introduce to limit the risk of the auctioneer;
- disclosed before the auction;
- existing FIT could be used if there is no overcompensation

1. 5. Technologies and projects eligible: What?





- ➤ EEAG 2014-2020 requires technology neutral auctions to procure renewable energy at lower costs the ultimate goal;
- > Technology-specific auctions are more suited in early stages
 - in particular the need to diversify;
 - network constraints and grid stability;
 - system integration costs;
 - the need to avoid distortions on the raw material markets from biomass support;
- > Maximum size restriction
 - allow developers to take advantage of economies of scale;
 - attract interest from a broad pool of developers;
 - are consistent with policy target.

1. 6. The location(s): Where?



- For initial or test auctions, specifying the location(s) may reduce the upfront costs for bidders and generate results in the country's interest.
 - specific locations or leave the choice to the market;
- Market selection leads to efficiencies:
 - expertise of developers,
 - avoiding political interference and local objective;
- Specifying the locations could be necessary:
 - projects clustering in an area with higher integration costs;
 - reducing the upfront costs;
 - increase the interest and competition among the participants;
 - comply with requirements of an environmental impact assessment;

2. Design choices related to the process



- a) Timeline of the auction
- b) Pre-qualification requirements and documentation
 - Financial capacity
 - Technical capacity
 - Integrity
- c) Selection criteria
- d) Selection process
 - Procedure
 - Level of support to winning bid(s)
 - Measures to incentivize compliance



a) Time line of the auction

Main steps:

- Announcement of auction
- Publication of rules
- Q&A
- Opening of bid round
- Bid submission
- Closing of bid round
- Selection/evaluation
- Plant commissioning
- → clear, published before, binding
- → sufficient time for completion of each steps
- → communication platform, e.g. website



b) Pre- qualification requirements and documentation

Need to be met by bidders *before* the bidding stage in order to be eligible to participate in an auction

- Discourage bidders that do not have the capability to deliver the project
- Not deter market participants because of the transaction costs associated with the procurement of documentation and thereby reducing competition at the auction
- Needs to be evaluated by the auction administrator, i.e. requires resources
 - √ Financial capacity
 - Technical capacity
 - ✓ Integrity



Financial capacity

Ensure creditworthiness to raise financing

Options:

- Minimum credit rating
- Minimum turnover, assets, etc.
- Bid and completion bonds

- → bid bonds
- → relatively small



Technical capacity

Ensure that bidder has the technical capacity to complete the project

Options:

- Past experience re specific types of previous development, financing, operation of RE project (in a specific country)
- Provision of energy licenses, land permits, grid connection plans and environmental permits (time consuming and costly! Administrative burden!)

- → past experience requirements not too specific
- → permits and licenses depend on administrative burden



Integrity

Identify red flags related to conflicts of interest, corruption, tax and regulatory compliance

- → basic proof of integrity, i.e. ownership structure, shareholders, directors, disclosure of current or potential legal issues and court/arbitration cases
- → phased approach: further documents evaluated in case of selection of bid



c) Selection criteria

Selection of the winner(s) of the auction – depends on complexity

Options:

- Price per unit of power
- Multiple criteria with weights
- Lowest price with adjustments

→ for simple and clear selection: PRICE ONLY



d) Selection process

- ✓ Procedure
- ✓ Level of support to winning bid(s)
- ✓ Measures to incentivize compliance



Procedure

Selection process options:

- Descending clock
- Single, blind (or sealed) bid
- Two-staged (hybrid)

- → single, sealed bid process
- → Acceptance of bids exceeding the limit
- → Pre-qualification of losing bidders in subsequent bidding rounds



Level of support to winning bid(s)

Common options:

- Pay as bid
- Market clearing price
- Price bid with adjustments

→ pay-as-bid for simplicity



Measures to incentivize compliance

Financial guarantees and penalties paid on delays and non-realization to

- Increase the likelihood of timely project realization
- Deter unqualified bidders and speculative bids
- Align bidder and government incentives and
- Increase the credibility and transparency of the bid evaluation process
- → monitoring milestones
- → structured penalties
- → completion bond



