Bringing market guidelines in the Energy Community

Energy Community Secretariat
Agenda

1. Procedures
2. Geographical scope
3. CCRs
4. Methodologies – existing vs new | pan-European vs regional
5. Voting
6. Others
Scope of committees‘ work
Agree on adapted NC / GL versions ready for proposal for PHLG adoption

Procedures

MS!

EC | ECS | Party

MC

MC Decision

Transposition into national legislation

2/3 majority
Incl positive EU vote
One vote per CP
Governance proposal

Secretariat (coordination and chairing)

- EWG ECRB (advisory)
- Connection Codes Committee
  - invitees:
    - TSOs of CPs
    - NRAs of CPs
    - TSOs/NRAs of MSs (if required)
- System Operation Committee
  - invitees:
    - TSOs of CPs
    - NRAs of CPs
    - TSOs/NRAs of MSs (if required)
- Market Committee
  - invitees
    - TSOs and MO/PXs of CPs
    - NRAs of CPs
    - TSOs/NRAs of MSs (if required)

All: open for participation by DG ENER, ACER, ENTSO-E

Report

Secretariat to coordinate with

PHLG

DG ENER, ACER, ENTSO-E
General

- **Standard adaptations**
  - EC → ECS | ACER → ECRB | MS → CP
- **ad-hoc adaptations**
- **Implementation in one step**
- **Implementation deadlines**
- **Reciprocity relevant**
  - Title III
  - Alternative Title IV
Geographic scope

- EU CACM
- EnC CACM

"switching on" of CPs and CP-MS interconnections
CCRs

- CCRs include only the CP-CP & CP-MS borders
- MS-MS borders are covered in CCRs defined by ACER
Explanatory document all TSOs’ CCR proposal | ACER

Source: Explanatory document to all TSOs’ proposal for CCR
Methodologies

All TSOs /(NEMOs)  
All NRAs

Pan-European terms & conditions / methodologies / platforms

Example of a region

Transfer through PHLG decision applicable on Title III (CP-CP, CP-MS)

Developed on regional level and applicable on Title III (CP-CP, CP-MS)

Example of a region

Relevant TSOs /(NEMOs) & NRAs

Regional (CCR) terms & conditions / methodologies / platforms

Relevant TSOs /(NEMOs) & NRAs

National (CCR) terms & conditions / methodologies / platforms

National implementation applicable on CPs
Voting

**Pan-European methodologies:**
- Qualified majority
  55% of MS + 65% of population of the EU

**Regional methodologies:**
- Qualified majority of the region
  72% of MS + 65% of population of the region

Region <5: consensus

**Pan-European methodologies:**
- Unchanged taken as part of EU acquis, applicable under PHLG decision requiring national transposition in CPs

**Regional methodologies:**
- Qualified majority of the region
  2/3 of the CPs/MSs of the region

Region <3: consensus