

Questions and Answers on the Tender Documents

“Technical Assistance for the Connection Network Codes implementation in the Energy Community”

Status: 11 November 2020

Question 1. Is the scope of work limited to gap analysis and technical guidelines for the determination of non-exhaustive requirements described in Regulations EU 2016/631 (RfG) and 2016/1447 (DCC)? Does the Contracting Entity also expect guidelines related to operational notification procedures and compliance mechanisms?

Answer 1: Scope of work is related to the adoption of the following EU Regulations:

COMMISSION REGULATION (EU) 2016/631 of 14 April 2016 establishing a network code on requirements for grid connection of generators

COMMISSION REGULATION (EU) 2016/1388 of 17 August 2016 establishing a Network Code on Demand Connection

The following EU Regulation **is not part** of requested activities:

COMMISSION REGULATION (EU) 2016/1447 of 26 August 2016 establishing a network code on requirements for grid connection of high voltage direct current systems and direct current-connected power park modules

Expected outputs are defined in point 2.3. of the Service Specifications and are related mainly to the determination of the non-exhaustive parameters. Guidelines related to operational notification procedures and compliance mechanisms are not expected.

Question 2: In section 3.1 it is stated that “all deliverables stipulated under point 6. Reporting and 2.3. Expected Outputs shall be provided for Contracting Parties which operate synchronously with the ENTSO-E” and in Section 6.1 that “Reports with drafted provisions which might be included in respective national legal/technical document setting non-exhaustive parameters, for each Energy Community Contracting Party. Could you please confirm that expected outputs/deliverables regard only the Ukraine, Moldova and Georgia instead of the all Contracting Parties?

Answer 2: Reports listed in under point 6.1 of the Service Specifications should be provided **for all Contracting Parties** but in detail for those ones operating synchronously with the ENTSO-E (Albania, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Kosovo*, Montenegro, North Macedonia and Serbia) and in less details for Ukraine, Moldova and Georgia. Bidders are however free to propose how deeply their offers will cover the relevant aspects for these three Contracting Parties not operating synchronously with the ENTSO-E, but we expect at least core recommendations to be included.

Question 3: Could you please confirm that Task 6 on the methodologies for the implementation of the technical non-exhaustive requirements regards the cases where

TSO/DSO interface exists and collaboration for parameters specification is necessary?

Answer 3: We cannot confirm that since Task 6 is not about the methodologies for the implementation of the technical non-exhaustive requirements. It is about a description of the non-exhaustive parameters influencing both TSO and DSO and business processes which require strong cooperation between both system operators.

Question 4: Does the minimum field work of 5 days regard meetings with Contracting Parties in Energy Community Secretariat located in Vienna or individual country visits are envisaged?

Answer 4: Both are possible but due to current extremely restrictive situation we will not insist that at least 5 days are spent in the field mission. However, we strongly support direct communication with relevant officials and experts in the Contracting Parties and reckon that it is essential. Contacts may be established virtually and the Energy Community Secretariat will assist in establishing contacts between the Service Provider and Contracting Parties' officials – representatives of TSOs and DSOs.