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Background:

- MC adopted list of Generally Applicable Standards 29th June 2007
- Contracting parties shall within 1 year adopt development plans

Purpose: Initial views on possible approach for development of individual plans

Approach with regard to acquis on energy:

- Elaboration and implementation of the legislation (transposition of acquis on energy) will be done within common, contractually agreed timeframe
- Similar approach for the implementation of Generally Applicable standards reasonable?

Aim: streamline procedure and coordination of the time schedule
POSSIBLE APPROACH

1. Evaluation of Generally Applicable Standards in relation to applied standards:

   • Identification of those standards which are not adopted yet
   • Identification of deviations

2. Assessment of the impact of the application:

   • National standardization body to assess transposition in terms:
     • Length of period needed taking into account:
       - Available resources
       - Discussions with users
POSSIBLE APPROACH

• TSO(s) and DSO(s) shall assess transposition in terms of:
  
o Impact on new projects (lead time, costs, advantages, etc.)
  
o Impact on rehabilitation of projects (lead time, costs, advantages etc)
  
o Impact on connection with existing infrastructure (lead time, costs, advantages etc)
  
o Impact on their spare part stocks
  
o Impact on their procurement (costs, choice of manufacturers/ producers, delivery time etc.)
POSSIBLE APPROACH

3. Sequence of the adoption of standards:

- **Based on assessments above:**
  
  o Possibility to improve security of supply
  
  o Provisions of the proper framework for competition
  
  o Other crucial goals of the Treaty

4. Determination of length of conversion period (PHLG, National Standardization Bodies, TSO(s) and DSO(s)):

- **Considering previous steps reasonable period to be determined:**
  
  o On Contracting Party’s level
  
  o On Regional level
POSSIBLE APPROACH

5. Submission of standards to Standardization Body and transposition:
   • **Time schedule by National Standardization Body reflecting:**
     - Human Resources of National Standardisation Body and other relevant stakeholders
     - Capital resources of National Standardisation Body and other relevant stakeholders

6. Follow up actions in accordance with plan envisaged by CPs:
   • **Discussion of approach at 8th PHLG meeting**
   • **Adoption of plan at the MC in June 2008**
   • **Discussion of determined time schedule at 10th PHLG meeting**
   • **ECS prepares time schedule based on conclusion of 10th PHLG**
   • **Fine tuned time schedule to PHLG before 11th PHLG**
   • **MC adopts fine tuned time schedule at meeting in December 2008**