# Multi-criteria based selection of RES technologies design of "optimal" RES mix

prof. dr. sc. Mirza Kušljugić Fakultet elektrotehnike Univerzitet u Tuzli 2nd Regional Exchange of Modelling Experts involved in the Development of Integrated National Energy and Climate Plans (NECPs) in SEE Countries

Multi-criteria based selection of RES technologies planning of "optimal" RES mix

- 1. Problem definition
- 2. Multi Criteria Decision Making (MCDM) Methods
- 3. MCDM support for planning "optimal" RES mix
- 4. The case of BH NECP first draft
- 5. Discussion and further activities

#### 1. Problem definition

- Projection of electricity demand with EE measures and electrification of H/C and transport
- Targets for RES share % and GWh increase of RES-E
- Identification of potential RES-E projects: SHPP, RoR HPP, PSHPP, sHPP, WPP, UPV, DPV, mPV, bioTPP, CHP
- Scenarios development (Least Costs Planning with balancing and load frequency control (LFC) constraints, Multi-objective scenario development)
- Multi-criteria Analysis of scenarios, Multi-criteria Decison Making

#### 2. Multi Criteria Decision Making (MCDM) Methods

- E. Triantaphyllou, "Multi-Criteria Decision Making Methods: A Comparative Study", Springer 2000 (WSP, WSP, AHP, ELECTRE, TOPSIS)
- J. Lu, G. Zhang, D. Ruan, F. Wu, "Multi-Objective Group Decision Making Methods, Software and Applications With Fuzzy Set Techniques", ICP 2007
- **MCDM** is a sub-discipline of operations research that **explicitly evaluates multiple conflicting criteria** in decision making. Conflicting criteria are typical in evaluating options: **costs** or price is usually one of the main criteria, and some measure of "quality" are typically **another criteria**, **usually in conflict with the cost**.

#### 3. MCDM support for planning "optimal" RES mix

- M.L. Kamari etc., "Applications of multi-Criteria Decision-Making (MCDM) Methods in Renewable Energy Development: A Review", RERA 2019
- Criteria for RES technology selection: Economic, Technical, Environmental, Socio-political, Risk (AHP/ANP, TOPSIS)
- RES mix/portfolio development scenarios quantitative assessment
- Simplified scenario assessment using criteria: LCOE for RES technology, system LCOE, balancing and LFC constraints.

#### 4. The case of BH NECP first draft

- TFEC (- self consumption of TPP and industrial CHP) (i.e. in 2030 = 14.000 GWh)
- RES-E 2030 NECP target: increase from 6.500 GWh to 9.500 GWh (with average hydrology)
- Short term and long term variability (WWS nexus)
- LCOE (with projection of trends)
- Balancing and LFC contraints (i.e. 840 MW WPP and 825 MW PV, or max. 5% PV and 10% WPP in TFEC)
- Preference for DPV and mPV-prosumers (50% of PV energy)

#### 5. Discussion and further activities

- Exchange of information: investment costs, load factor, equity and loan costs, WACC, LCOE for RES technologies (IRENA studies)
- Multi-objective scenarios development methodology (i.e. job creation, regional development, location, ownership)
- Decision making support. Optimization software (i.e. Times/Markal), Simulation software (i.e. EnergyPlan)
- Balancing anf frequency regulation constraints national v.s. regional approach (software ?)
- Review of the regional studies (SEERMAP, IRENA REmap, EnC, EC, WB/IFC, Agora Energiewende)

### **THANK YOU!!!**