Implementing Gas Network Codes in the Energy Community

Regulation (EU) 2015/703 of 30 April 2015 establishing a network code on interoperability and data exchange rules
Starting level - 3rd Package implementation

- Implementation deadline 1 January 2015

- Including further commitment to implement Network Codes / Guidelines Article 27 of MC Decision

EnC NC adoption procedure

- PHLG conclusions in March and June 2016 – implementation concept, step wise approach
- *Concept discussed with EC, ACER and ENTSOG*
Work approach principles: NC by NC, groups along ICPs

1. **Targeted WG Meeting Results**
   - 1st meeting, Budapest, 7 July 2016
   - Decision on Work Approach Further: WGs - NC by NC or per a package of different NC parts combined
   - Definition of Implementation Deadlines, Package by Package [date, sequence and reasoning]

2. **WG Meetings**
   - Discuss NC sequence
     - ECS / EC to circulate meeting material well in advance
     - Participants to prepare for detailed discussion of content

3. **Next Step**
   - EC to table stepwise NC implementation to PHLG for adoption

**In Any Case**
1. Without failing the "basic" steps (IAs etc.)
2. Ensure that ultimately all provisions can and will be implemented
3. Reciprocity: self-binding commitment of MS NRAs to apply NCs on IPs to EnC borders
Network Code on Interoperability and Data Exchange Rules

1st proposal:
2 steps implementation

By 1 January 2018
- Chapter II Interconnection Agreements
- Chapter III Units
- Chapter VI Final provisions

By 1 January 2019
- Chapter IV Gas Quality and Odourisation
- Chapter V Data Exchange

Draft text proposal
1 step implementation

2 meetings dedicated to NC INT (July, September)

Representatives of Ukraine, Poland, Slovakia, Hungary, Serbia, Bosnia and Herzegovina, fYR of Macedonia and Bulgaria
ECS, EC, ACER, ENTSOG

Still to be solved:
- Application scope: At which interconnection points?
- Legal gap: CP - MS
- Article 16 on hourly publishing data on gas quality; subject of exemption approval by NRA
IPs GR-AL and AL-IT could be relevant by the time of NCs implementation in EnC.
Legal gap: CP-MS

Simple replacement: „Member State“ – „Contracting Party“

Leads to integration between UA-MD and SR-BiH

Advance replacement: „ICP between two CPs and between CP and MS“

Will not ensure obligation for MS at IP with CP

Switch on clause?
Letter of intent or MoU

Possible to be implemented by MS: „This Regulation may also apply at entry points and exit points to third countries, subject to the decision of the national authorities.“

IPs GR-AL and AL-IT could be relevant by the time of NCs implementation in EnC.
**ICAs’ status quo**

1. **Ukraine – Poland**: Uktransgaz and Gaz-System concluded in 2014 ICA for Hermanowice IP; update ICA for IP Drozdovichi-Drozdowice (old ICA signed in 2006)

2. **Ukraine – Slovakia**: Uktransgaz and Eustream concluded in 2015 ICA for Budince IP; no ICA yet for IPs Uzhgorod-Velke Kapusany

3. **Ukraine – Hungary**: Uktransgaz and FGSZ concluded in 2015 ICA for both IPs; full operationally depends on matching shippers codes

4. **Ukraine – Romania**: Uktransgaz and Transgaz concluded ICA for T1; T2 and T3 depends on availability of shippers codes

5. **Ukraine – Moldova**: 3 IPs; discussions ongoing

6. **Moldova – Romania**: Transgaz and Vestmoldtransgaz concluded TA for Iasi-Ungheni pipeline

7. **Hungary – Serbia**: Srbijagas and FGSZ have discussed ICA, but as a part of transit contract negotiations

8. **Serbia – Bosnia and Herzegovina**: discussion on ICA between Srbijagas and Gaspromet/BH Gas has not started yet

9. **Bulgaria – fYR of Macedonia**: GAMA has TA with Bulgartransgaz; ICA foreseen by the end of 2016 (within CESEC Action Plan 2.0)
Thank you for your attention!
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