# **Lessons learned for design of national ETSs**

Monique Voogt, 4 April 2023





### **Content**

- 1. Choices for an ETS (or not)
- 2. Selected lessons learned
  - a) Allocation
  - b) MRVA
  - c) Efficiency
- 3. Thoughts on and advantages of a regional approach





# **Choices for an ETS (or not)**

- ETS has proven to be a cost-effective instrument to address climate change
- BUT ETS is not a silver bullet and cannot address all objectives or all inequities
  - Using sectoral caps or multiple methodologies for allocation results in a non-level playing field and in undermining the carbon price incentive
  - Additional policies are preferably used to address other objectives
  - ETS revenues can be used to address inequities
- NOTE it's a complex system and it takes quite some time before it functions well
  - Lessons can be learned from several ETSs; the designs are more and more converging
  - (more on the next slides)



#### Selected lessons learned - allocation

### **Comparing options**

- Free allocation (FA) limits economic impacts and increases acceptance
- FA according to BaU emissions does not incentivise reduction
- Grandfathering (GF) can lead to perverse incentives
- Benchmarking (BM) provides better incentives and fairer treatment (compared to GF)
- Auctioning increases direct costs on participants, but generates revenues and reduces (govt) administration

#### **Practical choices**

- FA can be useful for early years
  - Lower economic impacts
  - Higher acceptance
  - Allows time for learning setting up robust MRVA, identifying GHG reductions, understanding costs
- Auctioning is preferred in later yrs
  - Reflects polluter pays principle
  - Avoids perverse incentives and subjective allocation choices
  - Does not require rules for new entrants, closures, etc.
  - Does not require verification of data for free-allocation



#### **Selected lessons learned - MRVA**

- MRVA is a <u>no-regret option</u> to start with and has good value for several ETS issues
- Good quality data helps <u>cap setting and distribution of effort</u> (i.e. splitting cap over the participating sectors/actors)
- ☐ Good quality data supports understanding on the need of the specific climate measure and <u>acceptance</u> of its design choices
- □ Solids implementation of MRVA framework takes significant time, for all stakeholders involved >> better to <u>learn before</u> actual start of ETS
- ☐ Timely availability of good quality data <a href="helps operators">helps operators</a> to design a good carbon response strategy and thereby lower the costs of compliance
- ☐ Timely implementation lowers the costs of oversight and therewith lowers the administrative burden



# **Selected lessons learned - efficiency**

## System efficiency

Elements to support higher system efficiency:

- Central coordination
- Harmonisation (across countries, regions, activities)
- Simplified treatment of small emitters and de-minimis sources
- Use of auctioning (compared to developing BMs or defining FA)
- Harmonised compliance and administrative requirements (also across policy areas, where possible)

#### **Efficiency for operators**

Elements that support a higher efficiency for operators

- Harmonised templates for monitoring & reporting
- Market stability mechanisms
- Long-term political certainty (wrt targets, market, governance)
- Early and meaningful stakeholder engagement





## Thoughts on a regional approach

- A well-functioning ETS takes time
- ☐ Yet the urgency to address climate change & the need to avoid high carbon costs requires swift action

What steps are recommended for the region?

- As a (pre-) EU accession country, build the market along the lines of the EU ETS to avoid having to make changes later
- Build on the lessons learned; adapt to local needs, strengths and capacities
- ☐ Take a step-wise approach to allow for own learning
- Use international cooperation where possible, to alleviate the burden and use opportunities for market stabilisation



# Advantage of a regional approach to carbon pricing

#### **Advantages**

- Keeping benefits within the region
- Strengthening the common position
  - within countries to create buy-in
  - in international negotiations
- Avoid competitive distortion
- Avoid "weakest approach is the leading approach"

Note: a regional approach would not necessarily mean establishing a full, common system.

It can also mean sharing elements to the system or making similar design choices to enhance (future) cooperation.



## Start with shared infrastructure/capacity

#### **Advantages**

- Allowing to focus efforts; avoiding having to implement too much in too short time
- Improving efficiency of time spending during implementation
- Allowing countries to leapfrog where preferred
- Using relative strengths of countries
- Improving cost-effectiveness of market elements and building stronger markets

Note: EU ETS is a fully integrated, international system AND part of the infrastructure is shared:

- One registry; one dominant trading & auctioning platform
- International use of verifiers/NABs
- Standardised MRVA templates, tools and guidance
- Shared IT system
- Active sharing of expertise
- Joint training

A similar strategy for joint learning and sharing leads to a significant reduction of effort and helps creating a liquid and stable market.



# Thank you for your attention

Monique Voogt

SQ Consult B.V.

E: M.Voogt@SQConsult.com

T: + 31 (0)6 175 00 196

