

Conclusions of the 35th ECRB EWG Meeting

Location: Athens

2 June 2015, 10:00 – 18:00

1. Minutes, Agenda

Conclusions:

EWG agreed on the agenda and the minutes from the last meeting.

2. Report from the ECRB, PHLG

Conclusions:

no conclusions required; agenda item for update only.

3. Information on 3rd package transposition

Conclusions:

no conclusions required; agenda item for update only.

4. Preview of upcoming Deliverables

Conclusions:

no conclusions required; agenda item for update only.

5. Transparency

Conclusions:

EWG members acknowledge the short-comings of the congestion management guidelines' (CGM) transparency requirements and call for a timely adoption of the Regulation 543/2013 into the EnC acquis. The latter provides solutions for the problems underlying the non-publication of generation data, especially related to confidentiality, data ownership, data definition, and submission. The EWG invites the CP NRAs to initiate discussions between primary data owners, especially generation companies, TSOs and DSOs for facilitating the timely

implementation of future publication requirements, aiming to identify required data formats, submission processes and data specifications. NRA facilitation could also come from communicating the fast track regulatory recognition of investments needed for IT system and process updates.

Monitoring the compliance with transparency/publication requirements should be made a more regular task of the EWG, on an annual basis. The task shall be a combined one between the EWG and the Section. Implementation should continue as a pilot project until the adoption of Regulation 543/2013 into the EnC acquis and culminate into a brief report. The present EU NRAs preliminarily committed to participate in this endeavour and to come back with confirmations to the EWG within two weeks.

The EWG invites all SEE NRAs that have not participated in the survey to do so. It also highlights the need to raise NRAs' awareness for the importance of monitoring and fulfilling transparency obligations, on both a national and regional level. A paradigm change in has to come.

EWG members consensually agree to deal with the monitoring of publication requirements in more detail and with more commitment.

6. TF1 – Wholesale Market Opening

Conclusions:

EWG supports the adoption of the CACM into the EnC acquis in order to create legal certainty as a basis for establishing organised markets and allowing for the coupling with the rest of the EnC.

EWG invites Mr Lanza and Mr Langeder to participate in the EnC ad-hoc working group MC/PX and contribute to the draft Policy Guidelines before public consultation.

Based on the input from side of the ENTSO-E RG SEE, the Section shall update the WMO File accordingly.

EWG decided to draft a letter to the corresponding ACER working group, informing about EWG's interest to join ACER's work streams related to capacity allocation, congestion management, and balancing, once the formalities are clarified. Continuous information exchange should be mutually beneficial. A two way communication can have the form of agenda points at the relevant meetings.

7. TF2 - Balancing

Conclusions:

Section will request and collect comments to the Balancing Discussion Paper until 29 June 15. Secretariat will invite the EWG to participate in the EnC Balancing Forum; the section will circulate the link for participation in this forum on 8 June 2015..

2015 Balancing Survey: deadline for resubmission of data should be three weeks after sending out individual requests.

8. TF4 – SEE Market Monitoring

SEEAMMS is expected to be migrated to ECS servers until 13 June.

The consultant proposed to organise a coordinated round of exchange between him, the TF4 Leader, and the TSOs in order to obtain and align a harmonised approach for explaining the indicator variances. The consultant will share with the relevant EWG members the lists with topics where interpretations differ for the above coordination. With the introduction of a bi-monthly rotation, and after finalising the above endeavour, the EWG should revisit the possibility to extend and improve the SEEAMMS process regarding more coordinated analysis and investigation, in the future.

EWG intends to address the issue of coordinating these explanations at the upcoming NRA-TSO meeting.

Regarding the Market Monitoring Report, the TF4 will approach the EWG members with individual requests to either submit their data, if this has not happened, or to provide feedback to already submitted data, where the latter is not consistent or requires further explanation. The deadline for the answers/submission of data is 31 June 15. TF4 will coordinate with the Section to approach ACER regarding the methodology for calculation of relevant indicators. The Section will ask ACER, if in the future, the data from the EnC CPs can be entered into their market monitoring database.

9. AOB

no conclusions required; agenda item for update only.

10. Next meeting 2015

4 November 2015